

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/036,289	10/24/2001		Stephen C. Edberg	14098/1013	4480	
29933	7590	11/25/2002				
PALMER &	•		EXAMINER			
KATHLEEN 111 HUNTIN	GTON AVE			GITOMER,	GITOMER, RALPH J	
BOSTON, MA 02199				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1651		
				DATE MAILED: 11/25/2002	Y	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/036,289

Edberg

Examiner

Ralph Gitomer

Art Unit **1651**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
	for Reply	TO EVENEE A MONTHUS FROM
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 24, 20	001
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	•
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bureau the control of the certified copies of the priority do	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
15) □	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. 33 120 and/or 121.
Attachm 1) No	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) X Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)7
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

This application is one of a series of related applications by the same inventor. Please inform the examiner as to which application first enables the presently claimed subject matter to properly select the priority date. Until an appropriate priority date is determined and claimed, a full and proper search cannot be conducted.

The IDS received 4/1/02 has not been considered because the many cited references are not found in this file and are not readily available to the examiner.

Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-33 of copending Application No. 10/036,288. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

5

10

15

The present claims are directed to a method employing a powdered medium lacking a gelling agent. In the specification on page 2 first full paragraph, *the culture medium, which may be a water solution or a water gel... On page 9 (1) the medium can be present in powder form. On page 11 the medium can be produced in powder form.

Please inform the examiner as to which specific previous related applications provide complete written description for the above claim limitations.

Nowhere in the specification as originally filed does the culture medium lack a gelling agent. Further, that the medium lacks a gelling agent and is powdered, in view that the specification teaches the medium can be a water gel, is not seen as significant because no unexpected results of this feature is described. An undisclosed advantage is given little or no weight. And to provide a growth medium in a powdered form where water or sample is added to the powder to form a broth or gel is old in this art.

The accelerant found in many instances in the specification is essential to the claimed invention but the specification as originally filed does not provide any written description of what the accelerant may be or how it is made.

5

10

15

Serial No. 10/036,289 Art Unit 1651

The title of the invention is not aptly descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The Abstract of the Disclosure is objected to because it is not directed to the claimed invention. Correction is required.

See M.P.E.P. § 608.01(b).

The following prior art pertinent to applicant's disclosure is made of record and not relied upon:

Edberg (4,925,789) with a 102(e) date of 6/30/1986, teaches methods with media that are not specifically powdered.

Edberg (5,429,933) with a 102(e) date of June 30, 1986, teaches a medium.

Edberg (5,780,259) with a 102(e) date of June 30, 1986, teaches a medium.

Edberg (6,329,166) with a 102(e) date of June 30, 1986, teaches a medium lacking a gelling agent.

Cenci (Microbiologica) dated April 1990, teaches detecting atypical coliforms.

None of the above references are provided here because they were provided in previous Office Actions.

5

10

15

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ralph Gitomer whose telephone number is (703) 308-0732. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. For 24 hour access to patent application information 7 days per week, or for filing applications electronically, please visit our website at www.uspto.gov and click on the button *Patent Electronic Business Center* for more information.

Meetones

Ralph Gitomer Primary Examiner Group 1651

> RALPH GITOMER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

20

15

5